



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,990	12/19/2001	Antonius Adhi Wiryawan	OIC0262US	3673
60975 7590 10/12/2007 CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758			EXAMINER JOHNSON, GREGORY L	
			ART UNIT 3691	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/024,990	Applicant(s) WIRYAWAN ET AL.	
	Examiner GREGORY JOHNSON	Art Unit 3691	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's argument, see page 8, lines 3-6, filed October 5, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 7, 13 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mini et al., Pat. No. 6,684,196 (hereinafter Mini).

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-23 are pending. Claims 1-23 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson, Pat. No. 5,699,527 (hereinafter Davidson), in view of Mini.

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

As to claims 1 and 7, Davidson discloses a method and system with a machine-readable medium that includes a set of instructions (column 1, lines 24-36), the set of instructions, which when executed, perform a method, comprising:

communicating a user interface to a client system via a network communication link, the user interface including a plurality of user interface displays configured to capture commercial loan application data (i.e. this is a business loan; see Abstract; column 4, line 60 thru column 5, line 12; and Fig. 1-2);

receiving the commercial loan application data via the network communication link (e.g. information is transmitted electronically; column 4, lines 18-21 and Fig. 2);

storing the commercial loan application data in a storage device (e.g. electronic storage device; column 5, lines 12-17); and

communicating at least a portion of the commercial loan application data to the client system (col. 4, lines 45-51; col. 7, lines 27-38; and claim 1).

Davidson does not explicitly disclose that the portion of the commercial loan application data communicated to the client system is used to pre-populate at least one data field of one of the plurality of user interface displays.

However, Mini teaches that a method and apparatus can be used in facilitating a transaction corresponding to real property between a seller and a buyer via a network (see Abstract). Mini teaches that a web site (i.e. server) is used for storing in a system database, users' personal information that is to populate the corresponding field in a subsequent document (col. 4, line 49-64 thru col. 5, line 67; col. 6, line 59 thru col. 7, line 2; and col. 9, lines 9-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to include the aforementioned limitation as taught Mini within Davidson for the motivation of saving time and resources for both the user and the real estate professionals (col. 9, lines 9-21).

As to claims 2-4, 6, 8-10, 12, 14-17 and 19-23, the rejections stand as set forth in the previous Office Action.

As to claims 13 and 18, Davidson discloses a method and system with a machine-readable medium that includes a set of instructions (column 1, lines 24-36), the set of instructions, which when executed, perform a method, comprising:

receiving a user interface (e.g. loan Applicants' PC) via a network communication link (column 4, lines 18-21), the user interface including a plurality of user interface displays configured to capture commercial loan application data (e.g. applicant loan applicant completes requested information; Abstract and column 2, line 56 thru column 3, line 24 and column 4, line 60, thru column 5, line 17);

receiving a user input, the user input comprising entry of the commercial loan application data (column 4, line 60, thru column 5, line 17);

communicating the commercial loan application data to a server to store in a storage device (column 5, lines 9-17).

Davidson does not explicitly disclose receiving at least a portion of the commercial loan application data from the server to pre-populate at least one data field of one of the plurality of user interface displays.

However, Mini teaches that a method and apparatus can be used in facilitating a transaction corresponding to real property between a seller and a buyer via a network (see Abstract). Mini teaches that a web site (i.e. server) is used for storing in a system database, users' personal information that is to populate the corresponding field in a subsequent document (col. 4, line 49-64 thru col. 5, line 67; col. 6, line 59 thru col. 7, line 2; and col. 9, lines 9-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to include the aforementioned limitation as taught Mini within Davidson for the motivation of saving time and resources for both the user and the real estate professionals (col. 9, lines 9-21).

Art Unit: 3691

6. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson and Mini as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Goodwin et al., Pat. No. 7,035,820 (herein Goodwin) as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY JOHNSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-2025. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GREGORY JOHNSON

Examiner

Art Unit 3691



LALITA M. HAMILTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER